is relevant to estimate the therapeutic ratio. Failure types occur at different times during FU. The exact occurrence of events is unknown and so the observed data are artificially clustered around the planned visits. If we knew the expected pattern of events, then it would be reasonable to schedule the visits at those times. Since events are related to prognostic factors, the FU visits should be adapted to individual patient characteristics. The aim of this study is to propose a method for defining optimal FU schedules for patients in a resource-efficient way. Data from the CHART bronchus trial are used to illustrate the methods.

Material and Methods: Patients alive without recurrence or serious side effects were scheduled to return at months 2-3, every 3 months to 2 years, every 6 months the next 3 years, then annually. Time to failure and its type (local(LR), distant(DM) or side effects(SE)) were recorded at each visit. Cox proportional hazards models were used to identify prognostic factors associated with each failure type. Competing risks methods were applied to estimate the cumulative incidence functions(CIF), adjusted on prognostic factors. Equally spaced quantiles of CIF were used to estimate the corresponding optimised FU times.

Results: 483 first events were recorded for 542 pts: 114 SE, 162 DM and 207 LR; 59 pts had no event at last FU. The 2-yr CIF rate=89%. Significantly higher risk of failure was observed for males (SE), stage III (DM) and conventional treatment (LR). At the 1st planned visit, the CIF rates were 15%, 13%, 11% and 9% in 4 groups (M-I-IIA, M-III, F-I-IIA, F-III) respectively. 10% failures are expected to occur at 6, 7, 8 and 9 weeks in these 4 groups, with earlier visits for males and later visits for females. Similar methods are used for each 10% CIF quantile. At the 2nd planned visit, 20% cumulative failures are expected to occur at 11, 12, 18 and 18 weeks respectively, etc. These methods allow an adaptation of the FU timing according to tumour site and prognostic factors. This optimisation should result in earlier scheduled visits for certain pts at high risk of failure, which may improve on overall survival. This work formed a part of the REACT programme of ESTRO funded by the EU.

1083 ORAL

Survival is better predicted with a new classification of stage III unresectable non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) treated by chemotherapy and radiotherapy.

T. Berghmans[1], J.J. Lafitte[2], J. Thiriaux[3], P. VanHoutte[4], J. Lecomte[3], A. Efremidis[5], V. Giner[6], M. Paesmans[1], J. Klastersky[1], J.P. Sculier[1]. *[1] Institut Jules Bordet, Internal Medicine, Bruxelles, Belgium; [2] CHU Calmette, Pneumology, Lille, France; [3] CHU Charleroi, Pneumology, Charleroi, Belgium; [4] Institut Jules Bordet, Radiotherapy, Bruxelles, Belgium; [5] Hellenic Cancer Institute, Medical Oncology, Athens, Greece; [6] Hospital de Sagunt, Medical Oncology, Valence, Spain*

Background: The 1997 ISS classification separated stage III NSCLC patients into stages IIIA and IIIB. In a previous study including unresectable NSCLC initially treated with chemotherapy, we observed that survival was better predicted when patients were classified into stages IIIbeta (T3-4N3) and IIIalpha (other TN stage III) (Sculier et al, Crit Care Med 2000; 28: 2786). The aim of the study was to validate these results in a further set of patients.

Methods: Stage III unresectable NSCLC patients included in a phase III trial assessing the role of increased dose chemotherapy (SuperMIP: mitomycin 6 mg/m2, ifosfamide 4.5 g/m2, cisplatin 60 mg/m2, carboplatin 200 mg/m2) in comparison to standard chemotherapy MIP (mitomycin 6 mg/m2, ifosfamide 3g/m2, cisplatin 50 mg/m2), before thoracic irradiation (60 Gy in 30 fractions over 6 weeks) are the subject of this study. Survival distributions were assessed by the method of Kaplan-Meier. Survival comparisons were made by the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis using the Cox model, included all potential prognostic factors with a p value < 0.2 in univariate analysis.

Results: According to the 1997 ISS classification, 328 eligible patients were included in the study. There was no imbalance between the 2 arms. For the group as a whole, although a significantly better response rate was observed, there was no survival difference according to treatment arm. Five parameters were significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with survival in univariate analysis: ELCWP staging (IIIalpha versus IIIbeta), Karnofsky index, weight loss, platelet and haemoglobin counts. These variables as well as the 1997 ISS staging, white blood cell count, LDH and sodium level were included in a multivariate analysis. Two models were constructed, including either the 1997 ISS (model 1) or the ELCWP (model 2) staging systems. In model 1, Karnofsky index (HR = 0.69; 95%CI 0.47-1.00; p=0.05) and haemoglobin (HR = 1.49; 95%CI 1.11-1.99; p=0.007)were significant. Model 2 included 3 covariates: ELCWP staging (HR = 1.68; 95%CI 1.20-2.35; p=0.002), haemoglobin (HR = 1.54; 95%CI 1.15-2.07; p=0.01) and Karnofsky index (HR = 0.72; 95%CI 0.49-1.05; p=0.08).

Conclusion: In unresectable stage III NSCLC treated by chemotherapy and radiotherapy, we validated the results of our previous study. The classification into stages IIIbeta (T3-4N3M0) and IIIalpha (other TN stage III) better discriminates the patients in term of survival than the 1997 ISS classification

Colorectal cancer

1084 ORAL

Only colon cancer patients with Dukes stage C benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil and levamisole among 425 patients with operable colorectal cancer in a Norwegian randomised study.

O. Dahl, K.M. Tveit, E. Carlsen, J.N. Wiig, H.E. Myrvold, T. Gauperaa, A. Skarstein, N. Podhorny, O. Bjerkeset, T.J. Eide. *Univ. of Bergen, Haukeland Univ. Hospital, Bergen, Norway*

Background: The introduction of adjuvant chemotehrapy for colon cancer with lymph node metastases by Laurie (1) and Moertel (2) was reluctantly accepted by Norwegian medical doctors. We wanted therefore to assess and confirm the role of adjuvant therapy with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) combined with levamizole (Lev) in a confirmatory randomised study.

Materials and methods: 425 patients with operable colon and rectum cancer, Dukes stage B and C, were from January 1993 to October 1996, included in a randomised multicentre trial in Norway. The age limits were 18-75 years. The trial was approved by the Official Regional Ethics Committee. Therapy started with a loading course of bolus i.v. FU (450 mg/m2) daily for 5 days. From day 28 a weekly iv FU dose (50 mg/m2) were administered for 48 weeks. From day 28 a p.o dose of Lev (50 mg x 3) was sheduled for every 14 days. Totally 214 patients were randomised to 5FU/Lev and 211were included in the control group with surgery alone. Despite some did not met the inclusion criteria (one patient had prior cancer and one had an uterine carcinomars; and 9 actually had Dukes' stage A, one T1, 8 T2), all patients were included in the final analysis on an intention to treat basis. 70% had colon cancer, 30% rectal cancer, and 39% were Dukes' stage C, 59% B and 2% A.

Results: There were no significant difference in the two groups at 5 y: Overall survival was 68.2% in controls and 72.0.8% in the adjuvant group. There were no difference in the two groups when analysed for colon and rectum separately. However, in the subgroup of colon cancer Dukes' stage C the difference in cancer specific survival was significant (p=0.036): surgery alone 47.8%, adjuvant chemotherapy 65.4%.

Toxicity was acceptable: Haematological Gr. 3: 1, Gr 4: 3 and other Gr 3: 33 (mainly diarrhoea and nausea) and Gr. 4: 7 including one infection, among 190 patients where detailed scoring were recorded. No toxic death occurred.

Conclusions: Colon cancer patients with lymph node metastases benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy with FU/Lev and toxicity was acceptable and should continue to receive this therapy as standard therapy.

1085 ORAL

Multicenter international randomized study of oxaliplatin/5FU/LV (folfox) in stage II and III colon cancer (mosaic trial): final results

C. Topham[1], C. Boni[2], M. Navarro[3], T. Hickish[4], J. Tabernero[5], A. Bonetti[6], T. Andre[7], A. de Gramont[8]. *[1] St Lukes Cancer Centre, Guildford, United Kingdom; [2] Arcispedale S Maria Nuova, Reggio Emilia, Italy; [3] Hospital Duran i Reynals, Llobregat, Spain; [4] Dorset Cancer Centre, Bournemouth, United Kingdom; [5] Hospital vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; [6] Ospedale de Legnago, Legnago, Italy; [7] Hopital Tenon, Paris, France; [8] Hopital St Antoine, Paris, France*

FOLFOX4 regimen combining LV5FU2 (leucovorin 200mg/m^2 as a 2-hour infusion, 5-FU 400mg/m^2 bolus and 600mg/m^2 22-hour continuous infusion, d1-2) and oxaliplatin 85mg/m^2 d1, bimonthly, has demonstrated clinical activity in first line metastatic colorectal cancer (de Gramont, J Clin Oncol, 2000, Goldberg, ASCO 2003) as well as in second line (Rothenberg M, J Clin Oncol, 2003). In 1998, we initiated this large randomized phase III study in order to demonstrate efficacy of the FOLFOX4 regimen in earlier stages of the disease with the goal to achieve a 25% decrease in the risk of recurrence at 3 years for patients receiving FOLFOX4 compared to those receiving LV5FU2. From 10/98 to 01/01, 2248 patients with completely resected stage II (40%) or III (60%) colon cancer were